EXHIBIT J

1	- RACHEL KELLY -
2	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
3	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
4	X
5	RAFAEL FOX, ET AL.,
6	V. CIVIL CASE NO.
7	1:19-CV-04650-AJN-SN
8	STARBUCKS CORPORATION,
9	Defendant.
10	X
11	DATE: SEPTEMBER 2, 2020
12	TIME: 11:15 A.M.
13	
14	VIDEOCONFERENCE DEPOSITION OF RACHEL
15	KELLY, pursuant to Notice, before Hope Menaker, a
16	Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public of the State
17	of New York.
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1 - RACHEL KELLY where it was split into the division. 2 3 Q. What -- sorry, I thought you were done. I didn't mean to cut you off. Please 4 5 continue. 6 Α. I don't -- I was going to say I don't 7 recall the exact date. 8 Ο. When you were Director of Partner 9 Resources, what positions, if any, reported to you 10 as their direct supervisor? 11 So Partner Resources Managers and the Α. 12 HR Compliance Specialist Senior. 13 What sort of training, if any, did 0. 14 you undergo in connection with becoming Director 15 of Partner Operations the first time in September 2016? 16 17 MR. MOY: Objection. 18 Α. So based on my recollection, I was 19 assigned Partner Resources Director mentor. I was 20 given a training plan where I would, you know, 21 meet with other HR representatives in the company 22 to learn about their roles and how we would work 23 together. 24 There was, you know, ongoing 25 trainings that we had as a selective group for

1	- RACHEL KELLY
2	worked under you when you were Director of Partner
3	Resources in New York?
4	A. In the entire time or at one time
5	directly reporting to me?
6	Q. At a given time, how many positions
7	were there?
8	A. At a given time, two to three.
9	Q. Were they split up amongst the
10	geographic zones?
11	A. Correct.
12	Q. Other than human resources managers,
13	who else, if anybody, reported to you as their
14	immediate supervisor when you were Director
15	Partner Resources in New York?
16	A. The senior HR compliance specialist.
17	Q. Was there more than one such
18	individual during your tenure there?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. Was there more than one at a time?
21	A. No.
22	Q. Who were the individuals who held
23	that position?
24	A. Tina McDonald and Monica Maddock.
25	Q. During what period of time did Monica

1	- RACHEL KELLY
2	Maddock hold the job?
3	A. February 2019 to present.
4	Q. During what period of time did Tina
5	McDonald hold the job?
6	A. November 20, 2017. And we did have a
7	bit of a transition period from Tina was
8	promoted to a Partner Resources Manager, so there
9	was a time lapse in between Tina's promotion to
10	the time that Monica went into position. So I
11	can't remember the exact dates of Tina's
12	promotion, but she held the position up until
13	Monica took it.
14	Q. Did somebody hold that position
15	immediately prior to Tina McDonald?
16	A. In New York Metro?
17	Q. Yes.
18	A. No.
19	Q. Do you have an understanding of why
20	it was that a decision was made to hire somebody
21	for that position in New York Metro?
22	MR. MOY: Objection.
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. Can you explain.
25	A. Yes. So the person was put into

- the decision was made as to support the implementation and execution of the Fair Workweek legislation that was due to come about in November 2017.
- Q. Apart from Ms. McDonald's role in connection with that legislation, did she have any other duties or responsibilities in connection with her role as Partner Resources -- excuse me, as a Senior HR Compliance Specialist?
- A. That would be the primary function of her role. Some of the other things that she did would be to work on -- or consulting on, you know, tools, processes to support that, or other systems that would be in support of that legislation and then, you know, document, collection and retention in support of that, and then training in support of the legislation.
- Q. Does Ms. Monica Maddock have the same role and responsibilities as Tina McDonald did when she held the position?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Has anything else been incorporated into the duties of the Senior HR Compliance Specialist apart from Fair Workweek?

1 - RACHEL KELLY are other, you know, specific things as in like 2 3 partner care, partner safety, wage and hour, you know, Fair Workweek in certain situations. 4 5 As far as you know during his 0. 6 employment, did Rafael Fox make any complaints 7 that bared on health and safety issues? I was informed of that at, you 8 Α. 9 know -- obviously it's a part of this case, so I 10 am aware of it. He raised a concern. 11 As of the points in time when Mr. Fox 0. 12 separated from his employment, were you aware if 13 he had at any point during his tenure raised complaints about matters of health or safety? 14 15 Α. No. As of the time he separated from 16 Q. 17 employment, were you aware of whether Mr. Fox had 18 raised complaints or concerns about wages being 19 unpaid for certain employees? 20 At the time of his separation? Α. 21 Yes. Q. 22 Α. Was that -- no, I was informed after 23 the separation. 24 Ο. What store did Rafael Fox manage at 25 the time of his separation?

1 - RACHEL KELLY 2 Α. If I recall correctly, West Broadway 3 and Leonard. 0. Who preceded him as manager of that 5 store? 6 Α. I'm sorry, I don't recall the 7 partner's first name, or full name. I believe the partner's first name was William. 8 9 Q. Do you have any information about the 10 circumstances of William's leaving his role as 11 manager of that store? 12 Α. (No audible response.) 13 Could you describe what you know 0. about that subject? 14 15 Α. Yeah. It's my understanding that 16 William was separated for violations of wage and 17 hour. And that as Rafael came in, he was asked to 18 make adjustments, I believe, to correct those 19 inaccuracies of wage and hour. That's my 20 understanding of the situation. 21 Who was the District Manager at the 0. 22 time that Will was separated? 23 Α. It's my understanding that it was Les 24 Sable, but I also believe that there was a 25 transition between Les and Tim like right around

1 - RACHEL KELLY 2 former manager Will? 3 MR. MOY: Objection. So -- so the District Manager is 4 Α. 5 involved in the investigation itself. I'm not sure who Les worked with from Partner Resources in 6 7 that particular investigation. Again, it would have either been Partner Resources Manager or 8 someone within the PRSC. 9 10 Were the partners who were owed money 0. due to whatever misconduct by Will compensated for 11 12 any losses that he caused them? 13 It is my understanding that yes, they Α. 14 were all compensated. 15 Were they compensated prior to Rafael 0. Fox becoming Store Manager of that location? 16 17 Again, it's my understanding that 18 Rafael was asked by Les to make those corrections 19 to ensure that they were paid accurate. Again, 20 that's my understanding. What is the source of information for 21 0. 22 that understanding, your source of information? Yeah. Conversations with Les and 23 Α. 24 conversations with Tim. 25 Q. What, if anything, did you discuss

1	- RACHEL KELLY
2	see there's two entries 9-18, and they have the
3	description "supplies pest"?
4	A. Uh-huh.
5	Q. Just for the record, could you say a
6	word?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. Do you see in the first it says,
9	supply pest lines, the amount is 24.30-something?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. We can put aside that document. Was
12	it your decision to hire Tina McDonald for a new
13	position in the district as senior compliance
14	manager?
15	A. Yes. In the region. Not in the
16	district, but in the region, yeah.
17	Q. Was it your decision to create that
18	position in the district in the region?
19	A. No.
20	Q. Who decided to create that position?
21	A. My predecessor had requested that the
22	position is funded, and got the approval to do so.
23	Q. And did you then make the first hire
24	into the monition?
24	into the position?

- Q. What was the process for identifying and then selecting among candidates?
- A. Sure. So this position was certainly going to be one of importance, and so the first step that I did was shared the job overview, expectations of the role, and just some guidelines of what we were looking to have this person do in support of the Fair Workweek legislation that was upcoming. I shared that with the Regional Directors in the region and the Partner Resource Managers, and certainly Robyn and Tracy, the RBT, and our recruiting team to just talk about who might be a really good candidate for the position.

We identified this was going to be an internal partner that would move into the role because of the nature of the job and the importance of understanding our Starbucks processes and protocols as it would relate to the expectations of the job.

So I shared that with the regional leaders, asked them to think about individuals on their respective teams, talk to their District Managers and identify who might be interested in the role and who they would assess based on

- RACHEL KELLY performance, interest, knowledge of operational

protocol, et cetera, who might be a good candidate

4 to interview for the position.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

put forward two candidates. One was an Anaiad Espinosa, and the other was Tina McDonald. I asked Robyn to conduct the interviews with me, given that this person would interface heavily with the two of us and certainly my respective teams. We interviewed both candidates together, and I made the final decision to offer the job to Tina.

- Q. When you referred a moment ago to Robyn, are you referring, just for the record, to the woman you identified as Starbucks in-house counsel Robyn Ruderman?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. And you and she jointly interviewed and selected Tina?
 - A. We interviewed Tina together. I made the selection of Tina.
- Q. Which Regional Director put forward
 Tina McDonald as a candidate?
- 25 A. Ron Shuler.

1	- RACHEL KELLY
2	Q. Did you read anything?
3	A. No.
4	Q. Who devised the training program for
5	employees at Starbucks in New York in connection
6	with the Fair Workweek Law?
7	MR. MOY: Objection.
8	A. Can you clarify just a little bit in
9	more detail what you're looking for as it relates
LO	to training program.
L1	Q. Sure. Was training provided to Store
L2	Managers at Starbucks in New York concerning the
L3	Fair Workweek Law?
L4	A. Yes.
L5	Q. Can you describe what that training
L6	involved?
L7	A. Sure. We had a series of both formal
L8	and informal training sessions. You know, I'll
L9	talk about what we did leading up to the
20	implementation of the law, and then that's
21	certainly sustaining training efforts as well. So
22	as I shared, I joined the New York Metro team the
23	first week of October in 2017, and the previous
24	my predecessor had outlined some expectations for
25	training.

So we followed through with those and then we added some supplemental things. So the first training session that was provided was at the Store Manager summit in the areas that would be applicable for the predictability, or for the Fair Workweek legislation. So we had three areas that had stores within the five boroughs of New York. So area 76, which was Ron Shuler's area; Area 146, which was Carla Ruffin's, area 82 which was Kate McShane's, and then, and then a portion of area 75 which was Alexis Vertucci. There were three districts.

The first training session that I was involved in, like I said, was at the -- for managers' summit. We brought in someone from Seattle to lead this training for the Store Managers. These summits were held over the course of two weeks between October the 10th and the 17th. All Store Managers and District Managers were in attendance as well as some of the regional leadership team, support partners, business partners, et cetera.

The training session that was given to Store Managers at that summit was approximately

a 90-minute session. It was led by Sandy Ellison, as I shared, the person that came in from Seattle; and she walked the Store Managers through our Fair Workweek training guide. In addition to that, we shared barista needs to know, a Store Manager needs to know, and a District Manager needs to know, and introduced what would be the schedule change log that would come to fruition once the law went into place.

So that was the first occasion for training. For formal training, I should say.

Sorry, go ahead.

- Q. That first occasion, were the training materials distributed or provided to the Store Managers to keep?
 - A. They were.
- Q. Were they handed out on paper at the training or something else?
- A. I don't know if it was handed out. I think they were placed on like the tables of the participants, but it was on paper.
- Q. Date-wise I believe you already specified the range of time that this first training occurred. What is the next phase of

training that happened?

A. Yes. So from there, in an ongoing basis as we learned more about how we were going to implement the processes at Starbucks or how -- you know, as we were learning details on what we were anticipating from a legislation standpoint, we would provide updates to the regional leadership team at the weekly huddles. So this was the Regional Directors, our Partner Resources Manager, the RBT, and we just provide updates on an ongoing basis to keep everybody in tune to what was coming and what the plans were.

We were anticipating the detailed regulations of the -- excuse me, that there would be legislation to come out a little so sooner than they did. So we had put forth a plan to train the managers throughout the month of November, but we weren't ready to do that because we didn't have the detailed regulations, and many of our processes were going to be dependent on that.

So we continued to work on the documents in preparation, and the next training sessions that we held were November 14th through November 17th. This, again, was an opportunity

for us to train all of the Store Managers, all of the District Managers, and all of the Regional Directors in greater detail with the training guide. And that had more of the additional processes in place and new documents to share with them at that training.

So that was a two-hour session, and we generally broke it up to about two districts at a time, and they were facilitated in partnership between the Partner Resources Managers, myself, I believe Robyn shared and did some co-facilitation at times and answered questions, and we conducted those through the course of that week.

On November the 17th, which was the conclusion of that week, we compiled all of the outstanding questions that arose during those training sessions. Things that we may not have been able to answer in the moment or still wanted to provide, like get clarity and calibrate on. So we compiled those as a Partner Resources team and had a chance to work through those. On November 17th, I followed up with an e-mail to the regional -- I'm sorry, the District Managers and the Regional Directors just outlining some of the

key things that we covered in the training in anticipation of the law going live on November the 24th, and reinforcing some of the standards that were shared in those training sessions. So more of an inform reinforcement of the time training that we provided.

That following Monday, on November

the 20, we again just reviewed some of the

training materials with the regional leadership

team, talked through some those Q and A, and just

really talked about, you know, if there are any

needs or anything else that we wanted to put in

place leading up to the go live date.

Then from there, the Fair Workweek legislation went live on November the 24th. So the day that it went live, I sent a message to the applicable stores, the District Managers, the regional leadership team, again outlining key components of the legislation, some of the key points around our expectations, the processes, and certainly opening the door that if they had questions, to reach out to their leader, their Partner Resources Manager.

And then from there, the following

week -- or I'm sorry, that was the go live date.

The following week we opened it up to office
hours, so each R&D lead had set up a working
partnership with their PRM to, you know,
communicate out and just hold some time on their
calendar the following week to be available if
questions were to come up. And then, you know, I
would say there are many updates, written
communication, reminders that were sent out via
e-mail either through myself or Tina.

We also held a following -- we held a make-up session to that training the first week of December for anybody that might have missed that Store Manager training that I had shared. And then from there, we would continue to, on a weekly basis, provide the team with hypothetical -- when I say team, I'm sorry, more specifically provide Store Managers, District Managers, Regional Directors either reinforcement to some of the standards, updates if they were applicable, scenarios, really teaching and guiding them on how to handle situations as they arose.

And then from there we did periodic trainings, updates. Specifically, you know, Tina

would join huddles the RDs would have with their
District Managers. Tina would join huddles that
DMs had with their Store Managers. We would be
out in the Field in stores actually going through
and kind of checking the information and providing
on-the-spot coaching and helping the teaching
coach in more of a one-or-one or two-on-one basis.
And certainly available all the time in regards to
questions. And then we had kind of a sustainment
training plan from there.

So hopefully that gives you a little bit of an introduction of what we did to prepare the team, but I can certainly provide clarification if needed.

- Q. Did you ever go in person to Rafael Fox's store for any purpose?
 - A. I did not.
- Q. Who would -- created the written training materials that you mentioned?
- A. So the Fair Workweek training guide was created prior to me stepping into position.

 So I don't know who collaborated to put that together specifically. Sandy Ellison, the person that flew in from Seattle to lead the training,

1	- RACHEL KELLY
2	questions, but not in a formal way that I think
3	you're asking about.
4	Q. You mentioned the date when the Fair
5	Workweek Law I think you said went live on
6	November 24, 2017; is that the correct date?
7	A. That is correct.
8	Q. Leading up to that time, did
9	Starbucks have a plan in place to conduct audits
10	to assess compliance with the Fair Workweek Law by
11	stores upon the law taking effect?
12	MR. MOY: Objection.
13	A. Can you just clarify what you mean by
14	"audit."
15	Q. Was this any mechanism planned
16	whereby you were planning to somehow ascertain
17	whether stores were complying fully with the new
18	regulations?
19	A. Sure. So there's a couple of ways
20	that we verify. One is to physically go into the
21	stores and talk to the partners, talk to the
22	leaders, and physically check whether or not the
23	standards were in place; and that would be a part
24	of the DM's role and responsibility. We talked
25	about the importance of leading this at a high

level and really all of us taking ownership because of the importance in creating a great work environment for our partners and taking that collective ownership around this.

So we also talked about, you know,

RDs making sure they understood Partner Resources

Managers so that Tina certainly as a compliance

specialist so that they could go in and they could

check in with partners, follow up to the things

that we were putting in place. So that was one.

Secondarily, we did put together an audit in that Tina as part of her role would have a consistent mechanism for evaluating the standard, the processes and the protocols around the Fair Workweek legislation and other components tied to that; and so that was a formal audit that she had in place.

From there, eventually we started to recognize that that could be valuable for District Managers as well. So the DMs were given the audit also to leverage, and the expectation for the audit from a DM standpoint was that they would do that periodically throughout the year based on their cadence with their stores.

And I think those are probably the three main ways that we talked about kind of auditing issues better or validating that things were happening in our stores.

And then we hadn't gotten to this
yet, but we do a quarterly document collection in
the region for the documents that are associated
with the Fair Workweek legislation. So once those
documents come in, we actually audit the documents
from there, and then provide feedback back to the
leaders so that they can go back into the stores,
circle back to the partners, the leaders, and
follow up in greater detail. Those are some of
the mechanisms we had in place for validation and
follow-up.

- Q. When did the audits by Tina McDonald, which were in the list that you just explained, when did those audits begin?
- A. Yeah. So we had more of an informal audit, you know, that Tina did, right, where she would go in and she would check the standards; and then, as I said, eventually we had put the formal audit in place. So Tina started in her role on November the 20th. The law went live on the 24th,

- A. So specifically the more formal audit. So are you asking if she conducted a formal -- yeah, can you provide me a little more detail on what you're looking for there.
- Q. Sure. You said that there was a point in time when she began conducting more systematic audit mechanisms. My question now is whether that point in time came prior to Mr. Fox's separation or if it started after he was separated?
- A. I -- I don't recall exactly. I would say it was around that time. I don't recall specifically if was right before, right after.
- Q. Is it fair to say that you're aware of a complaint that was made about Mr. Fox related to Fair Workweek compliance to the Department of Consumer Affairs?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. When did you first become aware of the fact that that complaint had been lodged?
- 22 A. I believe it was on January the 10th of 2018.
 - Q. Had Ms. McDonald begun conducting her more formalized audits as of that date?

1	- RACHEL KELLY
2	MR. MOY: Objection.
3	A. No.
4	Q. What, if any, involvement did you
5	have in handling the complaint after you became
6	aware of it?
7	A. Well, so once I was once I was
8	made aware of it, I directed Tina and Brad to go
9	to the store, to Rafael's store specifically to
10	look into the Fair Workweek standards and the
11	concerns. I can't remember if we had the exact
12	concerns. I don't recall what the partner shared
13	as their specific concern, but I know it went to
14	the Department of Consumer Affairs. We were
15	informed, and I directed them to go in really to
16	evaluate the compliance around the Fair Workweek
17	standards.
18	Q. Were you aware at that time of the
19	identity of the person who lodged the complaint?
20	A. I don't believe so. I don't I
21	don't recall knowing the name of the partner that
22	made the complaint.
23	Q. Did you at some point in time come to
24	learn the name of the partner who made the
25	complaint?

1	- RACHEL KELLY
2	notes created the created by the Department of
3	Consumer Affairs in connection with the interviews
4	of Starbucks partners?
5	A. Not that I recall.
6	Q. You had mentioned I think that you
7	became aware of the complaint on January 10th, and
8	that you directed Tina McDonald and Brad Jennison
9	to go to the store. Do you know what date they
10	did that?
11	A. I believe that was on I believe
12	they did that the following day, on January the
13	11th.
14	Q. Did they report back to you after
15	they visited his store?
16	A. They did.
17	Q. Did they provide you with any written
18	summary of their visit?
19	A. I yes. And Robyn Ruderman was
20	also copied, and I do believe it was titled as
21	attorney-client privileged.
22	MR. GRAFF: Now, for the record, to
23	the extent there is any nonprivileged
24	document of that sort, we'd request
25	production of all in writing as with other

1	- RACHEL KELLY
2	requests during the deposition.
3	MR. MOY: Off the record.
4	(Whereupon, a brief discussion was
5	held off record.)
6	Q. Ms. Kelly, did Tina McDonald send you
7	any communication detailing the facts that she
8	gathered and observed while visiting Mr. Fox's
9	store on January 11th?
10	MR. MOY: Objecting.
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. Was the e-mail that she sent you with
13	those facts also copied to legal counsel?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. As best you recall, what were the
16	facts that Tina communicated to you based on her
17	visit on January 11th?
18	A. So based on my recollection of her
19	visit to Rafael's store on January 11th, she had
20	identified that there were four schedules that
21	were posted under the posted late, so with less
22	than 14 days' notice. She had also identified
23	that Rafael had hired a person into Starbucks
24	externally, and the internal partners that had
25	reached out who were interested in those hours,

that Rafael did not get back to them, did not respond to them.

She also shared that predictability pay was not paid where it was to be incurred as aligned with those late schedules that I had previously mentioned. And she also gave an example where Rafael had reached out to her and asked a specific question regarding a partner on whether or not they were owed predictability pay; and that she directed him to pay the partner. But upon visiting the store, had identified that he never did pay that partner. Sorry, go ahead.

- Q. Are all of these findings --
- 15 A. So --

- Q. I'm sorry. If you're not done answering, please finish your answer. I'm not trying to speak over you.
- A. Yeah, no worries. Yeah, there were some additional requests -- additional components as well.

She also stated that Rafael didn't appear to be forthcoming around his violations of the predictability pay. When she was reviewing the logs, the schedule change logs specifically,

1 - RACHEL KELLY Rafael had been completing those logs as the Store 2 3 Manager. And she also noted that there was -- a partner consent was missing on a number of 4 5 occasions as she reviewed those logs. 6 I know they also had some 7 conversation and she talked with Rafael, but I --I wasn't there, so I can't speak to the specifics 8 of those dialogues, but that was really the 10 overview of what she reported back. 11 0. And this is all information she 12 reported back based on her first visit on January 11th? 13 The -- I'm not exactly sure when the 14 15 conversations happened with Rafael. components that I shared around the late 16 17 schedules, the log and him not getting back to the 18 partners, and the question that he had asked, yes. 19 She did respond, yes she did share that. 20 Did Ms. McDonald make more than one 0. 21 site inspection to Mr. Fox's store? 22 Α. I believe so. 23 Q. When was the second such visit? 24 I believe she went back the following Α. 25 week, if I recall correctly.

1	- RACHEL KELLY
2	Q. If I suggest that it might have been
3	the 16th, does that ring a bell or you're not
4	sure?
5	MR. MOY: Objection.
6	A. I believe it was the following week.
7	I don't recall the exact day.
8	Q. In substance, what did Tina
9	communicate to you about whatever new information
10	she discovered on that second visit?
11	A. Yeah. So I think in the second visit
12	it was more details around her findings, and I
13	believe that was I would be guessing. I
14	believe that's when she shared more about her
15	conversations with Rafael.
16	Q. Who was the associate who
17	Ms. McDonald had directed him to pay but hadn't
18	been paid?
19	A. I don't recall that person's name,
20	I'm sorry.
21	Q. Do you believe their name would be
22	documented in any of your written communications
23	with Ms. McDonald or others about this
24	investigation?
25	MR. MOY: Objection.

A. Yes.

- Q. One of the items you had mentioned was in connection with Mr. Fox allegedly not getting back to certain internal partners who wanted certain available positions. Was it your understanding that there were any timely communications from partners who would have been eligible to take this position that position?
- A. It is my understanding that yes, there were partners that had reached out and expressed interest to Rafael.
- Q. Is there a period of time after posting within which managers are required to express interest if they're interested?
- A. If managers are or partners? We're talking about hourly partners.
 - Q. Partners.
- A. So we're required to post the opening, the recurring shift for three days in all the stores in the respective borough. There's not a legal requirement on how long you have to wait thereafter before you can make a hire. However, it is noted that preferential preference should be given to internal partners who express interest

1	- RACHEL KELLY
2	over hiring externally. So it would be dependent
3	on individual situations and the timing of that
4	situation.
5	Q. Is it your understanding that there
6	were partners who within the three-day posting
7	period expressed interest and Mr. Fox did not
8	respond?
9	A. So that wasn't my intention to
10	express that, if that was how you interpreted it.
11	Q. I'm not
12	A. The posting okay. The posting is
13	required to be up for three days so that partners
14	within the respective borough have an opportunity
15	to see it. It's not expected that they are
16	required to reach out within that three-day time
17	frame, within a reasonable time frame.
18	Q. Did you have any interaction with
19	Mr. Fox in connection with his hiring of an
20	outside person?
21	A. I did via e-mail.
22	Q. Can you describe the interaction.
23	A. Yes. So based on based on my
24	recollection, there were a couple of instances
25	that Rafael had e-mailed either asking questions

- RACHEL KELLY 1 subsequent visit, as far as you know, was she 2 3 accompanied by anyone? I don't recall either way. Sorry, I 4 5 don't recall. You had referred earlier to 6 0. 7 Ms. McDonald telling you about how there had been a prior e-mail and she had directed Mr. Fox to pay 8 9 a partner, and she later determined the partner 10 hadn't been paid. 11 Α. Uh-huh. That's correct. 12 Q. Apart from that prior direction that had been given prior to Tina's visits, did 13 Ms. McDonald tell you that she had directed 14 15 Mr. Fox to take any actions during either of her visits? 16 17 Can you clarify what you're looking Α. 18 for in taking any actions? 19 Q. Did Ms. McDonald direct Mr. Fox to 20 take any action to correct whatever problems she identified? 21 22 Α. I wasn't there, so I don't know what kind of information she shared with him at the 23 24 time. 25 Q. Is it your understanding that Mr. Fox

with Tina.

- Q. Did he share any information that was new or different than what Tina had already shared with you?
 - A. No.
- Q. After Tina McDonald's second visit to Mr. Fox's store, which you said took place the week after January 11th, what is the next step or thing that happened in the investigation process?
- A. Yeah. I know the sequencing of the details might just -- you know, I just want to put that out there. We also validated that Rafael attended training, because this was a new law that went into place. We wanted to make sure that he had, in fact, attended the training and had the knowledge of the standards and of the expectations that were set forth to Store Managers. Brad did validate that Rafael attended training on November the 14th.

We also had Tina visit some other locations in the district just to get an understanding of, you know, how the standards and expectations were being implemented across that portfolio of stores, did a spot check in a couple

of other locations within Tim's district. And then, you know, I know there were certainly detailed follow-up conversations to, you know, validate. I know Tina did a number of document collections so that we could report back to the Department of Consumer Affairs.

And so we really worked to put the information together in regards to responding to that complaint. And at some point it's my understanding that Tina and/or Tim talked with Rafael about these things that they had found in the store really to get his perspective and to understand hike what happened with some of these instances.

- Q. I'd like to focus on the last thing you just referred to, that at some point -- did you say Tina McDonald or Tim Hutchinson met with Rafael or did they meet together?
- A. I said Tim and -- Tina and/or Tim. I believe that Tina had conversations with Rafael.

 I believe that Tim had conversations and there may have been times where they did that together.

 That's my understanding.
 - Q. And what is the source or basis for

1 - RACHEL KELLY your belief and understanding that those 2 3 conversations with Tina and Brad for Rafael's response to these things took place? 4 5 Α. Could you repeat the question. 6 Why is it --Q. 7 Α. Why is it that --8 -- those conversations happened as a Ο. 9 matter of fact in the manner that you described 10 them? 11 When we had further dialogue, they Α. noted specific -- sorry, Tina noted specific 12 things that Rafael had shared with her around 13 his -- some of these things that we had talked 14 15 about, right, the predictability pay or paying partners and things like that. The log. So that 16 17 led me to the conclusion that Tina had a conversation with him; and I believe that Tim also 18 19 did during the course of this time as well. 20 Did you ever review any documentation Q. 21 describing those conversations? 22 Α. No. 23 During any of those discussions that Q. 24 you believe happened involving Ms. McDonald, is it 25 your understanding that she directed Mr. Fox to

1	- RACHEL KELLY
2	take any particular action with respect to the
3	items that she had found?
4	MR. MOY: Objection.
5	A. I am not aware of any direction that
6	Tina gave to Rafael in reference to the particular
7	item.
8	Q. You had mentioned that Ms. McDonald
9	also visited some other stores in the area. How
10	many other stores did she visit?
11	A. If I recall correctly, I believe it
12	was four. Three or four.
13	Q. And did she conduct those visits of
14	all three or four stores prior to Mr. Fox's
15	separation?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. Do you remember specifically which
18	any of the four stores were? Which stores they
19	were?
20	A. I'm sorry, I don't.
21	Q. Did Ms. McDonald provide any
22	withdrawn.
23	When Ms. McDonald visited those
24	stores, did she conduct a similar compliance audit
25	to what she had done on her visit to Mr. Fox's

1	- RACHEL KELLY
2	store?
3	MR. MOY: Objection.
4	A. I wasn't present, so I don't know
5	specifically her approach. She did report back on
6	similar items as it relates to compliance.
7	Q. Could you describe in a little bit
8	more detail what it is that she reported to you
9	based on her visits to the other stores?
10	A. Sure. I don't recall the exact
11	details of each location. She reported back
12	around store schedules, scheduling change logs,
13	postings of the shifts, the available shifts.
14	Schedules, as I said, predictability payments, and
15	I think general knowledge, the standards and
16	expectations. This is what I recall.
17	Q. Did Ms. McDonald communicate to you
18	that each of the stores that she had visited had
19	some of those problems?
20	MR. MOY: Objection.
21	A. I don't recall if each store had some
22	of those problems and how it was allocated amongst
23	the different stores. There were definitely
24	opportunities around the execution of the
25	standards in some of the other locations that she

think it reiterated the importance of us to continue to visit stores on an ongoing basis; and provide that coaching and the shoulder-to-shoulder leadership to ensure that we got compliance and that we're addressing it as appropriate and understanding trends and themes that are happening.

MR. GRAFF: I would just note briefly for the record, I had some communication with your colleague Rebecca Goldstein, Gary, yesterday. She had indicated there were documents concerning the findings of Ms. McDonald's audits of other stores that had not been produced yet and would shortly be produced. I'm only noting that I haven't received those, and this might be the point in the deposition when I would have to question the witness with the benefit of those documents.

Q. Back to questions, Ms. Kelly.

MR. MOY: One thing for the record.

Insofar as there are any other audits, you
can certainly ask the witness about those.

Q. Ms. Kelly, was it your understanding

1	- RACHEL KELLY
2	that any of the compliance issues that
3	Ms. McDonald identified at Mr. Fox's stores
4	resulted in any partners being owed money?
5	A. You said in Mr. Fox's stores. In his
6	store?
7	Q. In his store, yes.
8	A. That is correct. It is my
9	understanding that we did owe partners money.
10	Q. Did Ms. McDonald pay them that money
11	upon identifying the problems?
12	MR. MOY: Objection.
13	A. I don't know exactly who inputted the
14	pay adjustments to ensure that the partners were
15	paid.
16	Q. At what point in time were the
17	partners paid?
18	MR. MOY: Objection.
19	A. I don't have the exact date. I would
20	say shortly thereafter, we concluded our
21	investigation.
22	Q. Were the partners paid prior to
23	Mr. Fox's separation?
24	MR. MOY: Objection.
25	A. I don't have that information, and

1	- RACHEL KELLY
2	had found in the stores, and our standards, and
3	how to ensure they could consistently comply with
4	the Fair Workweek legislation.
5	Q. And apart from that verbal coaching,
6	are you aware of any other disciplinary
7	consequence imposed on any of those managers?
8	MR. MOY: Objection.
9	A. Again, Tim would be the person that
10	would deliver disciplinary action, so you would
11	need to either speak with him about that or
12	someone else. I wasn't involved in that.
13	Q. Were you involved in identifying,
14	compiling information to provide to the Department
15	of Consumer Affairs in connection with its
16	investigation?
17	MR. MOY: Objection. What
18	investigation, please?
19	MR. GRAFF: Of the Fair Workweek
20	complaint that we've been talking about.
21	A. So just so I understand the question,
22	you're asking if I was involved in compiling
23	documents for the Department of Consumer Affairs
24	complaint that came out of Rafael's store against
25	him?

	September 02, 2020	168
1	- RACHEL KELLY	
2	Q. Yes.	
3	A. That's the question? Okay.	
4	I would say I had limited	
5	involvement. Tina was really the primary person	
6	that was gathering the documents that were needed	
7	for it and, you know, I certainly saw some of them	
8	as we prepared to make our submission. I was more	
9	involved in the general approach and how we would	
10	respond, the timeline, making sure we had the	
11	information available. Tina really was the	
12	primary person who produced the documents and put	
13	them together in partnership with legal counsel.	
14	Q. As far as you know, was information	
15	concerning Ms. McDonald's inspection of stores	
16	other than Mr. Fox's provided to the DCA?	
17	A. Could you repeat the question.	
18	Q. As far as you know, were the	
19	materials provided to the DCA limited solely to	
20	Mr. Fox's store or did they include information	
21	about the Fair Workweek noncompliance at other	
22	stores as well?	
23	MR. MOY: Objection.	

24

25

So it's my understanding that the Α. complaint revolved around the person, and so it

1	- RACHEL KELLY
2	would be dependent on whether or not the person
3	worked in other locations.
4	Q. As far as you know, did the
5	Department of Consumer Affairs give any sort of
6	direction as to what disciplinary consequence, if
7	any, should be imposed on Mr. Fox?
8	A. Could you repeat the question.
9	Q. Did the Department of Consumer
10	Affairs make any recommendation or suggestion
11	concerning what form of discipline would be
12	appropriate for Mr. Fox?
13	A. Not to my knowledge.
14	Q. At some point in time, did
15	Ms. McDonald complete her investigation?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. Do you remember what date Mr. Fox was
18	terminated?
19	A. I believe it was February the 8th of
20	2018.
21	Q. How long prior to the date of
22	termination did Ms. McDonald complete her
23	investigation?
24	MR. MOY: Objection.
25	A. I don't know the exact date she

1 - RACHEL KELLY 2 completed her investigation. 3 Q. What was the next step in the process after Ms. McDonald had completed her fact finding 4 5 investigation? 6 MR. MOY: Objection. 7 Α. So for charity, her investigation for the Department of Consumer Affairs complaint or 8 Rafael's? 9 10 Thank you, that's an important 0. 11 clarification. I understood that Ms. McDonald 12 investigated Rafael's store and found certain 13 noncompliance issues, and that she reported those issues to you, and that at some point she 14 15 completed the process of fact finding as to 16 Mr. Fox's store. What is the next step in the 17 process that culminated in his termination? 18 MR. MOY: Objection. 19 Α. So as it -- so as it relates 20 specifically to Rafael, when we had culminated the facts and information that we believe we needed to 21 22 the discuss the next step of corrective action 23 based on the findings, we did have a meeting to discuss what was found as it relates to Rafael 24 25 specifically, as it relates to Fair Workweek

1	- RACHEL KELLY
2	compliance and his leadership in that regard.
3	Q. When you say that "we had a meeting,"
4	who participated in that meeting?
5	A. Thank you for asking. I apologize
6	for not being clear. It was myself, it was Brad
7	Jennison, it was Tina McDonald, it was Carla
8	Ruffin, and Robyn Ruderman was present as legal
9	counsel.
10	Q. When did that meeting take place?
11	A. I don't recall the exact date. I
12	apologize. I didn't have it noted in my
13	notations, so I apologize.
14	Q. Where did the meeting take place?
15	Were any of the participants physically gathered?
16	A. In the New York regional office.
17	Q. Were all of the individuals who you
18	identified as participants physically present for
19	the meeting?
20	A. I believe I'd be guessing. I
21	believe Brad may have joined by phone.
22	Q. Who made the final decision to
23	terminate Mr. Fox?
24	A. Carla Ruffin.
25	Q. During that final meeting, did

1	- RACHEL KELLY
2	Ms. McDonald provide any documents or records from
3	her investigation for anybody to review as part of
4	the meeting?
5	A. I recall her having them available.
6	I don't recall specifically the documents, if they
7	were reviewed or not.
8	Q. Was
9	A. At that meeting. At that meeting.
10	Sorry.
11	Q. Was there a draft or already written
12	termination letter for Mr. Fox at that meeting?
13	A. No.
14	Q. Was somebody directed to draft such a
15	letter?
16	A. So once the decision was made that
17	Rafael was going to be separated, the direction
18	was that Brad, the partner Brad Jennison, the
19	Partner Resources Manager, would work in
20	partnership with Carla to draft the separation
21	notice. That was the direction at that time.
22	Q. What were the reasons for terminating
23	Mr. Fox?
24	A. Yeah. So the reasons for
25	termination, for separation, he was separated as

an involuntary separation for policy violation, other; and the details of that separation and led to the decision for separation would be as follows: First, Rafael failed to post four schedules with the appropriate time expectations of three weeks' notice. As a result of that investigation, we had identified that. And subsequently, based on those schedules, there were partners who were owed predictability pay that Rafael had not paid.

Secondly, Rafael was -- was completing the schedule change log on behalf of other partners; and so it's our standard that partners sign off and consent to the schedule changes and that we have that documented. There's business initiated changes and there's partner initiated changes, and so the partner's consent in those logs, excuse me, is a critical component in identifying that.

Thirdly, Rafael was hooking to hire, and he had partners express interest in wanting the hours that he had available. Rafael made the decision to hire externally without meeting with the interim partners or getting back to them as

they expressed interest in the hours that he had available in his store. And in addition to that, during points of the investigation, others have had interactions with Rafael where they didn't feel he was being transparent, and that he wasn't being forthcoming with information. And specifically by signing logs on behalf of his partners, not paying predictability pay when he is given direction to by Tina, the compliance specialist, that was a big concern for us, and that really spoke to his integrity and it breached the leadership trust in that he would actually read these standards and the law in his store in a way that we could trust.

And so the combination of all of the violations in the Fair Workweek, his lack of transparency in parts of the investigation, and ultimately that integrity piece where he wasn't, you know, completing activities in a way that we would expect of a Store Manager, the combination of all of those violations is what led to the decision for separation for Rafael.

Q. In the absence of that final what you call transparency and integrity piece, would he

have been terminated for the other violations that you described?

MR. MOY: Objection.

A. It would be difficult for me to speculate the outcome. In a lot of these situations and circumstances, we're evaluating the standard, we're evaluating the legal implications, the impact on the work environment and to other partners, the brand. So it would be difficult for me to speculate. While I noted earlier there were other opportunities in other stores, the managers were more forthcoming in taking actions to make corrections and open to coaching.

Rafael, it was a big differentiator with him in that he wasn't following the guidance that he was being given, and that puts our partner experience at risk in our stores, and quite frankly the brand.

- Q. Other than Ms. McDonald, were there any other individuals who provided you information supporting the conclusions about transparency and integrity?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Who else?

A. Carla shared an example of when she had visited the store and she had asked about posted schedules, because to my understanding, again, I wasn't present, but based on what she shared, there wasn't a date printed on the schedule. And when she had some conversation with him about it, it's my understanding that she didn't feel as though he was being transparent.

Our schedules automatically print a date on them, so I'm not sure how it came about in that particular instance and the details around it, but Carla felt as though Rafael was not transparent and that there was an integrity concern there.

Q. Beside that concern that Ms. Ruffin had encountered personally, she personally discovered the date from the schedules and interacted with Mr. Fox and reached her conclusions from his responses?

MR. MOY: Objection.

- A. Again, this was her personal experience that she had shared with me. So I don't have any other information other than that.
 - Q. I just wanted to confirm that it was

1	- RACHEL KELLY
2	her firsthand experience and not anecdotal.
3	A. Yes, that's correct.
4	Q. Other than Ms. McDonald and
5	Ms. Ruffin as you just described, did any other
6	individuals provide any other information going to
7	the conclusions of lack of transparency and
8	integrity?
9	A. No, only Tina and Carla.
LO	Q. Did Ms. McDonald inform you or
L1	communicate in any way that she had a
L2	communication with Mr. Fox concerning Hot Shots?
L3	A. During that meeting?
L4	Q. Ever.
L5	A. After Rafael's separation and after
L6	this case was brought forward, she did share that
L7	with me.
L8	Q. During that meeting. Does that mean
L9	she didn't share it at that time?
20	A. She did not.
21	Q. During that meeting, did anybody make
22	reference to Mr. Fox's involvement in any respect
23	in correcting wage issues at the West Broadway and
24	Leonard store in connection with time log
25	manipulation?

A. No.

Q. Did you have any input into the language of the notice of separation?

A. I -- so I just want to kind of back

up. So earlier I said that the direction was

given that Brad would work in partnership with

Carla to craft the separation notice. Brad was

taking time off the following week. Lisa Welch,

who was his peer PRM in the region reached out to

me to help get some insight on the information

that would support the separation document.

That is an attorney-client privileged communication, so I just want to note that in that I did provide the facts as I saw them, and it was still waiting on legal advisement from Robyn Ruderman, who was also included in that. So I did outline -- I'm sorry, were you going to ask a question?

Q. No.

A. I did outline the violations as we had discussed from the meeting. The first spoke to the Starbucks standard of not having schedules posted within three weeks. Then I went into the legal violations, starting with the schedules that

1	- RACHEL KELLY
2	would also presume that Amanda Perstack was
3	present.
4	Q. Did Tim Hutchinson participate in the
5	meeting at which it was decided to terminate
6	Mr. Fox's employment?
7	A. He did not.
8	Q. Did anyone provide Mr. Hutchinson
9	with any information apart from what's stated in
10	the termination letter concerning the basis for
11	Mr. Fox's termination?
12	MR. MOY: Objection.
13	A. I did not, and I do not know for
14	certainty if anyone else did or what was shared
15	specifically with Tim Hutchinson.
16	Q. Do you have any information about the
17	substance of what occurred during the termination
18	meeting?
19	A. No.
20	Q. Generally when a Store Manager is
21	involuntarily separated, is there a standard exit
22	interview or some kind of outgoing process that's
23	followed?
24	MR. MOY: Objection.
25	A. No.

1	- RACHEL KELLY
2	issued written warnings. I don't know with
3	certainty all of those people that have been
4	issued written warnings. A written warning is a
5	level of disciplinary action that wouldn't
6	necessarily come to my level or that I would have
7	consistent visibility to. So I wouldn't be able
8	to provide a complete list of people who have
9	received a written warning as it relates to the
10	Fair Workweek legislation.
11	Q. Were any Store Managers in New York
12	other than Mr. Fox terminated in connection with
13	noncompliance with Fair Workweek requirements?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. How many other managers were
16	terminated?
17	A. Including Rafael, in the first year
18	there were nine managers including Rafael.
19	Q. You may have already indicated, but
20	approximately how many stores in New York were
21	subject to the Fair Workweek Law?
22	MR. MOY: Objection.
23	A. I believe on or about that time,
24	there were approximately 315 stores.
25	Q. Were you involved in the decision to

1	- RACHEL KELLY
2	So I don't know that I could provide
3	a specific answer around the details and if there
4	were any other factors that came into the
5	decision.
6	Q. When is the first time that a Store
7	Manager was terminated in connection with Fair
8	Workweek compliance after Mr. Fox?
9	MR. MOY: Objection.
10	A. On March the 1st of 2018.
11	Q. And when is the most recent time?
12	A. I wouldn't be able to speak to that
13	because I'm no longer overseeing that region.
14	Q. Do you recall the locations of any of
15	the eight stores where the managers were
16	terminated?
17	A. I don't recall the exact stores, but
18	I recall that in since they were nine including
19	Rafael's, six of those nine were in area 76, which
20	was Ron Shuler's area. Two of those were in Kate
21	McShane's area, which is area 82; and Rafael was
22	the one in area 146.
23	Q. To the best of your memory, have you
24	ever met Jill Shwiner?
25	A. No. Well, we haven't met personally,

1	- RACHEL KELLY
2	Q. Was his new employment with a
3	different employer?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. Is Brad Jennison eligible for rehire
6	if he were to apply for Starbucks?
7	A. Did you say eligible or ineligible?
8	Q. Eligible.
9	A. Brad is eligible for rehire based on
10	my recollection, yes.
11	Q. You had mentioned earlier that at
12	some point after the termination meeting,
13	Ms. McDonald communicated something to you about a
14	communication she'd had with Mr. Fox concerning
15	Hot Shots. Do you recall what I'm referring to?
16	A. Yes. And I just want to provide
17	clarity. It was after the separation of Rafael.
18	It wasn't after the investigation. After the
19	separation of Rafael.
20	Q. Okay. What did Ms. McDonald
21	communicate to you at that time?
22	A. It was my understanding that Rafael
23	shared with Tina that he had a concern about
24	managers or other stores having Hot Shots in their
25	stores, and that they were not an approved item

1	- RACHEL KELLY
2	break so that I can prepare. Before we do
3	take a break, however, I do want to formally
4	request the opportunity for the witness to
5	review the transcript according to Rule 30E
6	of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
7	MR. GRAFF: Noted.
8	MR. MOY: So can we reconvene around
9	6:53?
10	MR. GRAFF: Sure.
11	MR. MOY: Thank you all.
12	(Whereupon, there was a brief recess
13	in the proceedings.)
14	Q. Ms. Kelly, did you communicate with
15	anyone other than counsel during the break?
16	A. No.
17	Q. Did you read anything?
18	A. No.
19	Q. Before the break, we've been talking
20	about a communication you had with Ms. McDonald in
21	which she relayed to you a communication she'd had
22	with Mr. Fox concerning his concern about Hot
23	Shots. At what in time was that communication
24	between you and Ms. McDonald?
25	A. Once I was informed of that in the

- case, when it was raised in the case, I asked Tina about it. So the communication happened after I was informed of this legal case.
- Q. And the lawsuit, as we saw in the last exhibit, was filed May 21, 2019. Was it after that date that you had the communication with Ms. McDonald?
 - A. Yes, I believe so.
- Q. Without getting into any of the substance, there had been a meeting between the parties before the lawsuit was filed that included you as a participant. Do you recall the event I'm referring to?
 - A. I do. So --
- Q. Did the communication with Ms. McDonald happen before or after that meeting?
- A. Yes. So I would like to correct what I shared. Once I was aware of the concerns that were brought in the legal case, so I don't know the exact terminology, and I apologize, as I said before, I didn't have the exact date, I believe -- I believe I was aware, yes, before we -- I would be guessing at this point. I don't recall for sure. Once I was informed through one of the

1	- RACHEL KELLY
2	legal conversations about this case or legal
3	documents about this case, I asked Tina about it.
4	Q. Just in terms of points of time. At
5	a certain point in time prior to the lawsuit, I
6	sent a letter to counsel for the company. Was
7	your communication with Tina before or after that
8	letter, if you know?
9	MR. MOY: Objection.
LO	A. Again, I don't recall the exact
۱1	timing, and I don't know the date of that letter.
L2	Yeah.
L3	Q. I first wrote to the company around
L4	October 2017. You know if the communication with
L5	Tina was before or after that date?
L6	MR. MOY: Objection. Ari, just
L7	clarify the year.
18	Q. October 2018.
L9	A. Yeah. So I don't recall
20	specifically. It would have been in relation to
21	this case, you know, the first instance that it
22	was put in writing to us that Rafael had made that
23	concern as part of this legal case.
24	Q. Thank you. I have nothing else at
25	this time, but I may have some more questions

1 - RACHEL KELLY 2 separation is noted in our system as involuntary 3 deficient performance. I believe as terms of his agreement, it is voluntary in lieu of separation. 4 And again, this is -- I just want to 5 0. 6 clarify. Is it your testimony that 7 Mr. Hutchinson's separation pursuant to the separation agreement is considered a voluntary 8 9 separation? 10 MR. GRAFF: Objection. 11 Α. That is correct. 12 Q. Moving on with respect to 13 Mr. Hutchinson, do you recall that during the course of this deposition, Mr. Graph asked 14 15 questions about your conversation with Les Sable and Mr. Hutchinson concerning Mr. Fox's alleged 16 17 reports of underpayment of wages? 18 Α. Yes, I do. 19 Q. When did this conversation occur with 20 Mr. -- with Mr. Sable and Mr. Hutchinson? 21 Α. It was after the separation of Rafael 22 Fox when we were informed that this concern was 23 raised by Rafael, again, through these legal --24 through this legal case. 25 Q. Sitting here today, do you recall

1	- RACHEL KELLY
2	whether this conversation with Mr. Sable and/or
3	Mr. Hutchinson occurred before or after the
4	commencement of litigation by Mr. Fox?
5	MR. GRAFF: Objection.
6	A. So specifically when you mean
7	commencement, can you share what you mean by that.
8	Q. Well, as you testified earlier today,
9	Mr. Fox filed a complaint for legal action
10	concerning his termination of employment, correct?
11	A. That is correct.
12	Q. And you also testified a few moments
13	ago that you had conversations with Mr. Sable
14	and/or Mr. Hutchinson concerning Mr. Fox's reports
15	of alleged underpayment of wages; is that correct?
16	A. That's correct.
17	Q. I understand that based on your
18	testimony, the conversations with Mr. Sable and/or
19	Mr. Hutchinson occurred after Mr. Fox's separation
20	from Starbucks; however, my question now is did
21	your conversation or conversations with Mr. Sable
22	and/or Mr. Hutchinson occur before or after this
23	litigation relating to the complaint filed by
24	Mr. Fox?
25	MR. GRAFF: Objection.

1	- RACHEL KELLY
2	A. After.
3	(Whereupon, there was a brief recess
4	in the proceedings.)
5	Q. Did you have knowledge at the time of
6	Mr. Fox's termination whether he had concerns
7	about alleged underpayment of wages to store
8	employees?
9	MR. GRAFF: I said objection.
10	A. No.
11	Q. Ms. Kelly, sitting here today, do you
12	recall whether your conversations with Mr. Sable
13	and/or Mr. Hutchinson about Mr. Fox's reports
14	concerning alleged underpayment of wages occurred
15	before or after Mr. Graff sent a prelitigation
16	letter to Starbucks, if you are aware of any such
17	letter to Starbucks?
18	MR. GRAFF: Objection.
19	A. Based on my knowledge, after.
20	Q. Similarly, sitting here today, do you
21	recall whether this conversation that you had with
22	Mr. Hutchinson and/or Mr. Sable concerning
23	Mr. Fox's report of alleged underpayment of wages
24	occurred before or after Mr. Fox filed a legal
25	action at issue in this case?

1 - RACHEL KELLY MR. GRAFF: Objection. 2 3 Α. So I just want to be clear that I understand the question. So in between the first 4 time that the demand letter was sent and a formal 5 6 filing of the legal case; am I understanding that 7 correctly? 8 ο. Correct. 9 Again, based on my recollection, I do Α. 10 believe it was within that time frame. 11 0. It was somewhere in between? So after the -- if it was outlined in 12 Α. 13 the demand letter, whenever the first time it was outlined in writing and I was made aware of it, I 14 15 would have subsequently had the conversation thereafter. 16 17 Do you recall having knowledge of 18 such a demand letter sent by Mr. Graph on behalf 19 of the plaintiffs in this case? 20 MR. GRAFF: Objection, vague as to 21 time. 22 Α. It would have been in conversation 23 with legal counsel. So based on my recollection, 24 I do believe, unless there another document or 25 something that was shared before I was informed.

1	- RACHEL KELLY
2	that there was a wage and hour issue reported by
3	Mr. Fox?
4	MR. GRAFF: Objection.
5	A. I don't have that information. I
6	don't know when Carla was made aware.
7	Q. What is the basis for your
8	understanding that Ms. Ruffin was aware that there
9	was a wage and hour issue reported by Mr. Fox?
10	A. I don't I don't recall for certain
11	information around when Carla was made aware of
12	that.
13	Q. Well, sitting here today, do you
14	recall Ms. Ruffin ever reporting to you around the
15	time of Mr. Fox's termination that Mr. Fox
16	reported an alleged underpayment of wages to other
17	Starbucks employees?
18	A. No, Carla never reported it to me.
19	Q. I'm going to refer you to a line of
20	questioning by Mr. Graff regarding the discipline
21	of Starbucks employees. There is verbal and
22	written discipline, correct?
23	A. Correct.
24	Q. You are not aware of you as
25	partner sorry. You as the Director of Partner

1	- RACHEL KELLY
2	Resources for the New York Metro region was not
3	aware of every single instance of a verbal
4	discipline, correct?
5	A. That is correct.
6	Q. As the Director of Partner Resources,
7	were you aware of every single instance of a
8	written warning?
9	A. No.
10	Q. Were you expected to be aware of
11	every single instance of a verbal or written
12	warning involving a Starbucks employee?
13	A. No. No.
14	Q. Were you ever made aware of any
15	specific Starbucks employee who was found to have
16	authorized the use of Hot Shots?
17	A. No.
18	Q. Prior to this litigation, were you
19	ever made aware of any specific Starbucks
20	employees who was found to have used Hot Shots?
21	A. No.
22	Q. And to clarify, sitting here today, I
23	mean, just to clarify. At the time of Fox's
24	termination, were you aware of any reports of
25	underpayment of wages or the use of Hot Shots by

1	- RACHEL KELLY
2	Mr. Fox?
3	A. No.
4	Q. Were there any other Store Managers
5	who were under investigation by the New York City
6	Department of Consumer Affairs around the time of
7	Mr. Fox's termination?
8	MR. GRAFF: Objection.
9	A. Not that I recall.
10	Q. No further questions.
11	MR. GRAFF: I have just a couple of
12	follow-ups.
13	EXAMINATION BY MR. GRAFF:
14	Q. Ms. Kelly, is there any sort of
15	document at Starbucks like a corrective action
16	matrix or some sort of chart that describes
17	different categories of infractions and correlates
18	them to particular types of appropriate
19	discipline?
20	A. We do we do have what's called a
21	virtual coach, and this is a tool that you can go
22	on and work through different scenarios, and it
23	could provide a recommendation or examples; and it
24	also would outline instances where they would
25	recommend you reach out to either a local Partner